New records of fungal species of the family Didymellaceae from Iran

S. A. Ahmadpour⊠

M.Mehrabi-Koushki

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Khuzestan Province, Iran

M.Mehrabi-Koushki

Biotechnology and Bioscience Research Center, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

R. Farokhinejad

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Khuzestan Province, Iran

B. Asgari

A. Javadi Estahbanati

Department of Botany, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Iran

M. Mirabolfathy

Department of Plant Diseases, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Iran

K. Rahnama

Department of Plant Protection, Mycology Lab, Faculty of Plant Production, Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran

Abstract: The Didymellaceae is one of the most species-rich families in the *Pleosporales*, and contains numerous plant pathogenic, saprobic and endophytic specie, inhabiting a wide range of ecosystems. To identify the fungal species of the Didymellaceae in Iran, we investigated nine unidentified phoma-like strains obtained from the Iranian Fungal Culture Collection (IRAN) and six additional strains obtained from various diseased plants in Khuzestan Province, during 2019-2021. The strains under study were recognized by combining the results obtained from multi-gene phylogenetic analyses (ITS, LSU, rpb2 and tub2), and morphological comparisons. Accordingly, six species belonging to four genera of the Didymellaceae were identified and described as follow: Didymella aquatica, D. segeticola, Ectophoma multirostrata, Epicoccum dendrobii, E. tobaicum and Neomicrosphaeropsis Juglandis. All these species are new records for the fungi of Iran. Furthermore, several new hosts are reported for these taxa.

Keywords: Morphology, Phoma-like, Phylogeny, Taxonomy

INTRODUCTION

The Didymellaceae is one of the core families within **Pleosporales** (Pleosporomycetidae, 19 Dothideomycetes, Pezizomycotina, Ascomycota) (Hyde et al. 2013, Hongsanan et al. 2020, Wijayawardene et al. 2020). This family comprises numerous plant pathogenic, saprobic, and endophytic species associated with a broad range of hosts (de Gruyter et al. 2009, Hyde et al. 2013, Chen et al. 2015a, Liu et al. 2015). Some of them are opportunistic pathogens in animals and human (Aveskamp et al. 2008). To date, more than 5,400 species from 31 genera in Didymellaceae have been recorded, including recently established genera such as Dimorphoma L.W. Hou, L. Cai & Crous and Macroascochyta L.W. Hou, L. Cai & Crous (Hou et al. 2020a).

The family Didymellaceae was proposed by de Gruyter et al. (2009) to accommodate three main genera, including Ascochyta Lib., Didymella Sacc. and Phoma Sacc., as well as several related phoma-like taxa. The type genus of this family is Didymella, with the type species D. exigua (Niessl) Sacc. (CBS Chen et al. (2015a) performed a 183.55). comprehensive molecular study on Phoma and phomalike taxa, in which they proposed nine new genera in the Didymellaceae comprising Allophoma Q. Chen & L. Cai, Calophoma Q. Chen & L. Cai, Heterophoma Q. Chen & L. Cai, Neoascochyta Q. Chen & L. Cai, Neodidymelliopsis Q. Chen & L. Cai, Nothophoma Q. Chen & L. Cai, Paraboeremia Q. Chen & L. Cai, Phomatodes Q. Chen & L. Cai, and Xenodidymella Q. Chen & L. Cai. In this study, the genus Microsphaeropsis Höhn. was transferred to the family Microsphaeropsidaceae. However, Microsphaeropsis species were re-assessed using morphological and molecular data in the next studies, and accordingly they were again placed in Didymellaceae (Hyde et al. 2020, Hou et al. 2020a, b). Recently, several other new genera have been allocated to the Didymellaceae based on morphological and phylogenetic data, i.e. Briansuttonomyces Crous, Chaetasbolisia Speg.,

Submitted 16Aug 2021 accepted for publication 24 Nov 2021 Corresponding Author: E-mail: sa_ahmadpoor@yahoo.com

^{© 2021,} Published by the Iranian Mycological Society http://mij.areeo.ac.ir

Coniothyrium Corda, Cumuliphoma Valenz.-Lopez et al., Didymellocamarosporium Wijayaw. & K.D. Hyde, Didysimulans Tibpromma, Camporesi & K.D. Hyde, Dimorphoma, Ectodidymella L.W. Hou, L. Cai, Ectophoma Valenz.-Lopez et al., Endophoma A. Tsuneda & M.L. Davey, Heracleicola Tibpromma, Camporesi & K.D. Hyde, Juxtiphoma Valenz.-Lopez et al., Longididymella L.W. Hou, L. Cai & Crous, Macroascochyta, Neodidymella Phookamsak, R.H. Perera & K.D. Hyde, Neomicrosphaeropsis Thambug. Nothomicrosphaeropsis et al., Crous, Paramicrosphaeropsis L.W. Hou, L. Cai & Crous, Phaeomycocentrospora Crous, H.D. Shin & U. Braun, Pseudoascochyta Valenz.-Lopez et al.. Remotididymella Valenz.-Lopez et al., Sclerotiophoma L.W. Hou, L. Cai & Crous, Similiphoma Valenz.-Lopez et al., Vacuiphoma Valenz.-Lopez et al., Vandijckomvcella Hern.-Restr. et al., and Verrucoconiothvrium Crous (Arivawansa et al. 2015. Crous & Groenewald 2016, Crous et al. 2016, Thambugala et al. 2016, Wijayawardene et al. 2016, Valenzuela-Lopez et al. 2018, Hou et al. 2020a, b, Crous et al. 2021). However, some genera were not accepted in Didymellaceae due to inadequacy of genetic and morphological divergence, i.e., Didymellocamarosporium, Didysimulans, Endocoryneum Petr., Endophoma Petr., Neodidymella, Platychora Petr. and Pseudohendersonia Crous & M.E. Palm (Hou et al. 2020a).

The species of the family *Didymellaceae* have already been investigated in many studies in Iran (Ahmadpour et al. 2017a, b, Amirdehi et al. 2017, Babaahmadi et al. 2018, Khodaei et al. 2018, Larki et al. 2019, Bakhshi et al. 2019, Ahmadpour et al. 2022a, b). In these studies, new species of the genera *Allophoma, Ascochyta, Didymella, Ectophoma, Neodidymelliopsis, Paramicrosphaeropsis, Septoria* Sacc., and *Xenodidymella* were described and illustrated.

The current study aimed to elucidate unidentified phoma-like taxa deposited in IRAN collection and additional isolates associated with symptomatic various plants in Khuzestan Province. Here, 15 isolates of phoma-like taxa were evaluated using a polyphasic approach, combining morphological characteristics and phylogenetic analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and fungal isolation

Nine unidentified phoma-like strains were obtained from the Iranian Fungal Culture Collection (IRAN). Six additional strains were isolated from symptomatic plants including *Kalanchoe blossfeldiana* Poelln., *Phragmites australis* Cav., *Mentha piperita* L., *Lactuca serriola* L. and *Trifolium alexandrium* L., collected from different areas in Khuzestan Province during 2019–2020. For fungal isolation, small sections (0.5–0.8 cm) composed of both the asymptomatic and symptomatic tissues were excised and surfacedecontaminated in 1% sodium hypochlorite (2–4 minutes), followed by rinsing in sterile distilled water and drying on sterile paper. Dried sections were placed in petri plates containing potato dextrose agar (PDA, potato extract 200–400 g L⁻¹, sucrose 10 g L⁻¹, agar 12 g L⁻¹) supplemented with streptomycin sulfate (30 mg L⁻¹). After 7–12 days of incubation at 25± 0.5 °C, individual colonies were subcultured to fresh PDA. Pure cultures were made using the single spore method (Babaahmadi et al. 2018, Larki et al. 2019). Subcultures of surveyed strains in this study are d deposited in the Iranian Fungal Culture Collection (Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Tehran, Iran) and SCUA (Collection of Fungal Cultures, Department of Plant Protection, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran).

Morphological characterization

To evaluate microscopic features, colony characteristics, and growth speed, pure isolates were grown on oatmeal agar (OA; oatmeal 30–60 g L^{-1} , agar 12 g L^{-1}) at 25°C under 12 h photoperiod for 7–20 days.

Micro-morphological features were examined after mounting mature conidiomata and conidia in a drop of lactophenol. Pycnidial wall was studied using the microtome sections of 3-µm thickness, prepared with a Leica RM 2235 microtome, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Photomicrographs were taken with an OLYMPUS BX51 microscope equipped with an OLYMPUS DP12 digital camera, and at least, 50 measurements of each fungal structure were made with a Leitz Wetzlar (SM-LUX) Basic Biological Light Microscope at 400× and 1000× magnification.

DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

The isolates were grown on PDA at 25 °C in darkness for 1-3 weeks. Mycelial biomass was scraped off from the surface of each culture using a sterile glass slide. DNA was extracted using protocol described by Raeder and Broda (1985), with some optimization (Ahmadpour et al. 2017a). Both the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2 including the intervening 5.8S nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS) and partial regions of nuclear 28S ribosomal DNA (LSU) were amplified using the primer pair of ITS1/ NL4 (White et al. 1990, O'Donnell 1993), and part of the RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (rpb2) with RPB2-5F2/ fRPB2-7cR (Liu et al. 1999, Sung et al. 2007) and partial β -tubulin (*tub2*) with Btub2Fd/T2 (O'Donnell & Cigelnik 1997, Woudenberg et al. 2009). Polymerase chain reaction mixture was prepared according to Ahmadpour et al. (2022b).

The amplification was performed in a MJ MiniTM Gradient Thermal Cycler using the following parameters: 94 °C for 5 min; followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, the annealing temperature dependent on the amplified loci (56 °C for ITS-LSU, 58 °C for *tub* and *rpb2*) for 30 s and 72 °C for 90 s; and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were analyzed and sequenced as described by Safi et al. (2020).

Phylogenetic analysis

The DNA sequences were analyzed using BioEdit v. 7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999) and DNA Baser Sequence Assembler v4 (2013,Heracle BioSoft, www.DnaBaser.com) obtained and consensus sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table. 1). BLASTn search algorithm was done for each gene region to identify closely related species in the NCBI's GenBank. The ITS, LSU, tub2 and rpb2 sequences of ex-type or authentic strains of the genera under study were retrieved from GenBank. All sequences of each region were aligned using Clustal W in BioEdit v. 7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999) and manually repaired. A primary single-locus phylogeny was performed to identify the phylogenetic position of the isolates under study (not shown) and then for the four loci combined (ITS + LSU + tub2 + rpb2). The Multi-gene dataset was created by concatenation of all individual alignments. Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was done using raxmlGUI 2.0 beta (Edler et al. 2019), and started with the following options: the general time-reversible model with a Gamma distributed and Invariant sites (GTR + G + I) rate variation and thorough bootstrapping analysis with 1000 replicates (MLBS). Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were run in MEGA7 (Tamura et al. 2013) with the heuristic search option and 1000 pseudo-sampling in bootstrapping analysis. The Bayesian analysis (BI) was run using MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012), with the fittest evolutional models for each region estimated by jModelTest 2 (Darriba et al. 2012). Accordingly, GTR + G + I was used for ITS and *tub2* and GTR+I for LSU and rpb2. In BI analysis, the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis was performed with the following options: four MCMC chains were sampled over 10,000,000 generations, sampling every 1000 generations, the standard deviation below 0.01 and posterior probability values (BPP) were determined after removing the first 25% of trees. New sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

Table 1. Strains used in phylogenetic analyses. The new sequences are designated in bold.

Taxon	Strain ^a	Source	GenBank accession numbers			
			ITS	tub2	rpb2	LSU
Didymella aquatica	LC 5556 ^T	Water	KY742055	KY742297	KY742140	KY742209
	IRAN 4377C	Acer sp., leaf spot	OP163106	OM897462	OP562388	—
D. bellidis	CBS 714.85	Bellis perennis	GU237904	GU237586	KP330417	GU238046
D. macrophylla	LC 8131 ^T	Hydrangea acrophylla	KY742070	KY742312	KY742154	KY742224
D. segeticola	CGMCC 3.17489 ^t	Cirsium segetum	KP330443	KP330399	KP330414	KP330455
	IRAN 4745C	Quercus sp., leaf spot	_	OM897458	_	
	IRAN 4746C	unknown plant, leaf	—	OM897459	_	_
	IRAN 4747C	spot <i>Pterocarya fraxinifolia</i> , branch	—	OM897460	—	—
	IRAN 4748C	Pterocarya fraxinifolia, branch	—	OM897461	—	—
	IRAN 133C	Camellia sinensis, leaf	—	OM897456	_	
	IRAN 4744C	spot Diospyros lotus, branch	_	OM897457	_	
D. senecionicola	CBS 160.78	Senecio jacobaea	GU237787	GU237657	MT018177	GU238143
D. suiyangensis	LC 7439 ^T	Air	KY742089	KY742331	KY742168	KY742243
Ectophoma insulana	CBS 252.92 ^T	Olea europaea	MN973481	MT005581	MT018070	MN943685
E.iranica	CBS 144681 ^T	Catharanthus roseus	MK519382	MK519562	_	MK519389
	IRAN 3355C	Dracaena compacta	MK519561	MK519388	_	MK519381
E.multirostrata	CBS27460 ^T	Soil from poultry farm	FJ427031	FJ427141	LT623265	GU238111
	IRAN 4150C; SCUA-Ah- D49	Kalanchoe blossfeldiana, stem rot	_	OM897475	_	_

Taxon	Strain ^a	Source	GenBank accession numbers				
			ITS	tub2	rpb2	LSU	
Neomicrosphaeropsi s alhagi pseudalhagi	TASM 6134 ^T	Alhagi maurorum	MH069664	MH069689	MH069682	MH069670	
N. cytisicola	MFLUCC 18- 0355	Cytisus sp.	MH069665	MH069690	—	MH069671	
N. juglandis	MFLU 17-0517 T	Juglans regia	MN244223	MN871954	—	MN244206	
	IRAN 159C	Cupressus sempervirens var. horizontalis	OP163122	OM897440	—	_	
Neodidymelliopsis cannabis	CBS 12175 ^T	Urtica dioica	GU237761	GU237535	MT018288	GU237972	
E. dendrobii	IRAN 4165C; SCUA-Ah-A12	<i>Lactuca</i> <i>serriola</i> , leaf spot	OP163111	OM897443	—	—	
	IRAN 4167C; SCUA-Ah-A9-2	<i>Trifolium</i> <i>alexandrium</i> , leaf spot	OP163112	OM897444	_		
	IRAN 4751C; SCUA-Ah- H268	Phragmites australis, stem necrotic lesions	OP163121	OM897455	_	_	
	IRAN 4750C; SCUA-Ah- H262	<i>Mentha</i> <i>piperita</i> , leaf spot	OP163115	OM897448	—	_	
Epicoccum dendrobii	LC 8145 ^T	Dendrobium fimbriatum	KY742093	KY742335	MT018084	KY742247	
E. mezzettii	CBS 173.38 ^T	Populus pulp	MN973496	MT005596	MT018095	MN943701	
E.nigrum	CBS 173.73 ^T	Dactylis glomerata	FJ426996	FJ427107	KT389632	GU237975	
E.poae	LC 8160 T	Poa annua	KY742113	KY742355	KY742182	KY742267	
E.pruni	MFLU 16-1794 T	Prunus armeniaca	KY711170	KY711168	—	KY711172	
E. tobaicum	CBS 384.36 ^T	Heath soil	MN973493	MT005593	MT018092	MN943698	
	IRAN 4749C; SCUA-Ah-H19	Allium schoenoprasum	—	OM897451	—	—	
	IRAN 3307C	Actinidia chinensis	—	OM897445	_	—	
E. pomi	CBS 26792 ^T	Coffea arabica	GU237814	GU237643	LT623263	GU238128	
E.cedri	MFLU 16-1358 T	Cedrus deodara	KY711170	KY711168	—	KY711172	
E. purpurascens	CBS 166.32	_	MN973487	MT005587	MT018082	MN943692	

^a Abbreviation of culture collections: CBS, Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands; IRAN, Iranian Fungal Culture Collection, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Tehran, Iran; MFLUCC, Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection, Chiang Rai, Thailand; Others are not registered abbreviations. Newly generated sequences are in bold. T extype strains.

RESULTS

A multi-locus phylogeny was performed based on ITS, LSU, *tub2*, and *rpb2* loci consisted of 118 sequences from 37 ingroup taxa (Table 1), with *Neodidymelliopsis cannabis* CBS 12175 as outgroup. The concatenated alignment comprised 2149 bp, including gaps (ITS: 433 bp, LSU: 790 bp, *tub2*: 331 bp, *rpb2*: 595 bp). Among *those sites*, 1820 bp were constant and 326 bp were variable (287 bp were parsimony informative and 39 bp were parsimony-uninformative). Single-locus phylogeny did not show any conflicts in the tree topologies for the 70% reciprocal trees, which allowed to combine the four loci for a multi-locus phylogeny. The phylogenetic tree obtained from ML analysis showed a similar topology

to the BI and MP trees in major clades (Fig. 1). In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), the surveyed strains were clustered in four distinct clades representing *Didymella* (MLBS 100%, MPBS 100%, BPP 1.00), *Ectophoma* (MLBS 97%, MPBS 100%, BPP 1.00), *Epicoccum* (MLBS 100%, MPBS 100%, BPP 1.00), and *Neomicrosphaeropsis* (MLBS 100%, MPBS 99%, BPP 1.00). A multi-locus phylogeny, in combination with morphology, supported the identification of six species including *D. aquatica*, *D. segeticola*, *E. multirostrata*, *E. dendrobii*, *E. tobaicum* and *N. juglandis*. All these species are new for the funga of Iran. Moreover, this is the first report of *D. aquatica* on *Acer* sp.; *D. segeticola* on *Diospyros lotus*, Pterocarya fraxinifolia, and Quercus sp.; E. multirostrata on Kalanchoe blossfeldiana; E. dendrobii on Phragmites australis, Mentha piperita, Lactuca serriola, and Trifolium alexandrium; E. tobaicum on Allium schoenoprasum; and Actinidia chinensis and N. juglandis on Cupressus sempervirens var. horizontalis. TAXONOMY

Didymella aquatica Q. Chen, Crous & L. Cai, Studies in Mycology 87: 105 (2017) Fig.2

Conidiomata pycnidial, solitary, sometimes aggregated, globose to subglobose, brown, glabrous or covered with some hyphal outgrowths, superficial, ostiolate or poroid, 152.4-331.5 (359.8) × (132.3- $150-288.1 \,\mu\text{m}, 95\%$ confidence limits = 231.7-252.3 \times 206.6–224.3 µm, ($\bar{x} \pm$ SD = 242 \pm 42.3 \times 215.5 \pm 37.2 µm). Ostioles 2-13, sometimes elongated as a papillate. Pycnidial short neck. wall pseudoparenchymatous, composed of oblong to isodiametric cells, 2-5 layers, 14-35 µm thick, outer wall 2-3-layers pigmented. Conidia ellipsoidal to oblong, globose, smooth and thin-walled, hyaline, aseptate, $3.4-5.5 \times 1.8-2.6 \ \mu\text{m}$, 95% confidence limits = 4.05–4.3 × 2.2–2.3 μ m, ($\bar{x} \pm$ SD = 4.2 \pm 0.5 × 2.3 ± 0.2 µm). Conidial matrix cream. Culture characteristic: Colonies on OA 25-26 mm diam after 8 days of incubation at 25 ± 0.5 °C, margin regular and colorless, initially pale grey, becoming brown-grey with age, floccose, covered by pale grey aerial mycelium; reverse dark grey.

Specimen examined. IRAN, Mazandaran Province, Nur, leaf spot of *Acer* sp., Jul. 2018, A. Javadi Estahbanati, IRAN 4377C.

Note: *Didymella aquatica* was first introduced by Chen et al. (2017), with the characterization of a strain from water. In this study, the strain IRAN 4377C was associated with leaf spot symptoms in *Acer* sp. *Didymella aquatica* is phylogenetically closely related to *D. macrophylla* (MLBS 100%, MPBS 99%, BPP 1.00, Fig. 1). Morphologically, *D. aquatica* is clearly differentiated from *D. macrophylla* in the number of conidiomatal ostioles (2–13 vs. 1) (Chen et al. 2017). Accordingly, the new strain is similar to the type strain of *D. aquatica* (CGMCC 3.18349).

Didymella segeticola (Q. Chen) Q. Chen, Crous & L. Cai, Studies in Mycology 87: 138 (2017) Fig. 3

Conidiomata pycnidial, solitary to confluent, on the agar surface, subglobose, glabrous, later developing to pyriform to irregular shaped with many hyphal outgrows and with a clear neck around the ostioles, $123.9-392.7 \times 95.4-323.6 \,\mu\text{m}, 95\%$ confidence limits = $209.9-281.1 \times 180.2-237.5 \,\mu\text{m}, (\bar{x} \pm \text{SD} = 245.5 \pm 80.4 \times 208.9 \pm 64.6 \,\mu\text{m})$. Ostioles 1–2, on an elongated neck. Pycnidial wall

After 8 days of incubation at 25 ± 0.5 °C, regular, colourless to weak olivaceous, with poorly developed, felted, white to grey olivaceous aerial mycelium or without any; reverse olivaceous.

Specimen examined. IRAN, Khuzestan Province, Ahvaz, greenhouse of Shahid Chamran University, stem rot of *Kalanchoe blossfeldiana* Poelln., 6 Nov. pseudoparenchymatous. Conidia ellipsoidal to ovoid or cylindrical, thin-walled, smooth, hyaline, aseptate, $3.5-6.9 \times 1.9-3 \mu m$, 95% confidence limits = $3.9-4.1 \times 2.2-2.4 \mu m$, ($\bar{x} \pm SD = 4 \pm 0.4 \times 2.3 \pm 0.3 \mu m$). Conidial matrix creme-white. Culture characteristics: Colonies on OA, 58–59 mm diam after 8 days of incubation at 25 ± 0.5 °C, margin regular, aerial mycelium woolly, white to grey; reverse gray olivaceous.

Specimens examined. IRAN, Guilan Province, Guilan, leaf spot of *Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze., 18 Mar. 1992, Hosseini Moghadam, IRAN 133C; Fuman, branch of *Diospyrus lotus* L., 2 Aug. 2018, A. Javadi Estahbanati, IRAN 4744C; Siahkal-Deylaman, leaf spot of an unknown plant, 1 Aug. 2018, A. Javadi Estahbanati, IRAN 4746C; Siahkal-Deylaman, branch of *Pterocarya fraxinifolia* (Poir.) Spach, 1 Aug. 2018, A. Javadi Estahbanati, IRAN 4747C, IRAN 4748C; Mazandaran Province, Nur, leaf spot of *Quercus* sp., 1 Aug. 2018, A. Javadi Estahbanati, IRAN 4745C.

Note: Chen et al. (2015b) introduced *Phoma* segeticola with the characterization of several strains from diseased leaves of *Cirsium segetum*. Subsequently, Chen et al. (2017) transferred this species to the genus *Didymella* after the comprehensive revision of *Didymellaceae*. This species was also reported to cause leaf spots on *Camellia sasanqua*, *Camellia sinensis*, and *Nicotiana tabacum* (Ren et al. 2019, Guo et al. 2020). In phylogenetic tree, our six isolates clustered with the type strain of *D. segeticola* (CGMCC 3.17489; MLBS 95%, MPBS 91%, Fig. 1). Morphologically, the new strains differ from the type by producing larger pycnidia (123.9–392.7 × 95.4–323.6 µm vs. 90-105 × 75-95 µm). (Chen et al. 2015b).

Ectophoma multirostrata (P.N. Mathur, S.K. Menon and Thirum.) Valenz. -Lopez, J.F. Cano, Crous, Guarro & Stchigel, Studies in Mycology 90: 34 (2018) Fig. 4

Conidiomata pycnidial, globose to subglobose or irregular, glabrous, solitary or confluent, frequently with some variously shaped necks, $145.9-382.7 \times 95.4-323.6 \,\mu\text{m}$, 95% confidence limits = $209.9-281.1 \times 180.2-237.5 \,\mu\text{m}$, $(\bar{x} \pm \text{SD} = 245.5 \pm 79.4 \times 208.9 \pm 64.6 \,\mu\text{m}$, $(\bar{x} \pm \text{SD} = 348.4 \pm 57.8 \times 247.9 \pm 53.7 \,\mu\text{m})$. Ostioles, papillate or non-papillate. Conidia oblong to ellipsoidal, sometimes eguttulate but usually with 2–3 small or large polar guttules, variable in dimensions, $2.2-5.8 \times 1.7-2.9 \,\mu\text{m}$, 95% confidence limits = $3.8-4.2 \times 1.8-2.4 \,\mu\text{m}$, $(\bar{x} \pm \text{SD} = 4 \pm 0.6 \times 1.7 \pm 0.2 \,\mu\text{m})$. Conidial matrix creme-white. Culture characteristics: Colonies on OA, 56–57 mm diam.

2019, S.A. Ahmadpour, IRAN 4150C = SCUA-Ah-D49.

Note: *Sphaeronaema multirostratum* was originally described by Mathur & Thirumalachar (1959) from the soil in India (Boerema et al. 2004), and later transferred to the genus *Phoma* (Aveskamp et al. (2009a). Subsequently, Valenzuela-Lopez et al. (2018)

recombined this species into Ectophoma based on a multigene phylogenetic analysis. Ectophoma multirostrata was reported as thermo-tolerant species from leaves, stems, and roots of different plant species grown in tropical climates or under greenhouse conditions (Boerema et al. 2004). The new strain is phylogenetically closely related to E. multirostrata and E. iranica (MLBS 98%, MPBS 99%, BPP 0.99, Fig. 1). Morphologically, our strain is similar to the type of E. multirostrata (CBS 274.60) and slightly differentiated from E. iranica in producing longer conidia (2.2-5.8 μm vs. 1.9-4.4 μm) and smaller Pycnidia (145.9-382.7 vs. 232-438) (Aveskamp et al. 2009a, Larki et al. 2019).

Epicoccum dendrobii Q. Chen, Crous & L. Cai, Studies in Mycology 87: 140 (2017) Fig. 5

Conidiomata sporodochial, aggregated, semiimmersed or superficial, clavate, pale brown. Conidiophores macronematous or semimacronematous, unbranched, yellow to pale brown. Conidia globose, aseptate and smooth when young, multicellular-phragmosporous, later becoming verrucose, subglobose-pyriform, brown, with a basal cell, $11-14.4 \times 10.4-13.5 \,\mu\text{m}$, 95% confidence limits = 3.9–4.1 × 2.2–2.4 μ m, ($\bar{x} \pm$ SD = 12.4 \pm 1 × 11.7 \pm 1 µm). Culture characteristics: Colonies on OA, 45-50 mm diam after 8 days of incubation at 25 ± 0.5 °C, margin regular, covered by floccose aerial mycelia, dense, dark grey; reverse dark grey.

Specimens examined. IRAN, Khuzestan Province, Hamidiyeh, from stem necrosis of *Phragmites australis* Cav., 7 Dec. 2019, S.A. Ahmadpour, IRAN 4751C = SCUA-Ah-H268; Hamidiyeh, leaf spot of *Mentha piperita* L., 7 Dec. 2019, S.A. Ahmadpour, IRAN 4750C = SCUA-Ah-H262; Dezful, leaf spot of *Lactuca serriola* L., 4 Dec. 2018, S.A. Ahmadpour, IRAN 4165C = SCUA-Ah-A12; Dezful, leaf spot of *Trifolium alexandrium* L., 4 Dec. 2018, S.A. Ahmadpour, IRAN 4167C = SCUA-Ah-A9-2.

Note: *Epicoccum dendrobii* was introduced by Chen et al. (2017) with the characterization of the strains isolated from leaf spots of *Dendrobium fimbriatum* in China. Epicoccoid conidia of this species are similar in shape to those of *E. nigrum*, *E. poae* and *E. tobaicum*, but different in size. Our isolates are morphologically and phylogenetically similar to the type of *E. dendrobii* (LC 8145; MLBS 95%, MPBS 93%, Fig. 1).

Epicoccum tobaicum (Szilv) L.W. Hou, L. Cai & Crous, Studies in Mycology 96: 348 (2020) Fig. 6

Conidiomata sporodochial, aggregated, superficial, clavate, brown. Conidiophores macronematous or semi-macronematous, unbranched, sometimes elongated and covered in mycelial hairs, yellow to pale brown. Conidia multicellular-phragmosporous, verrucose, subglobose-pyriform, with a basal cell, dark brown, 14.2–20 × 15–19.6 µm, 95% confidence limits = 18.1–19.4 ×17.4–18.3 µm, ($\bar{x} \pm SD = 18.7 \pm 3.8 \times$ $19.6 \pm 3.3 \mu$ m). Culture characteristics: Colonies on OA, 81-82 mm diam after 7 days of incubation at 25 ± 0.5 °C, margin regular, covered by floccose aerial mycelia, yellow to green-yellow, olivaceous; reverse yellow to saffron, with dark brown areas.

Specimens examined. IRAN, Khuzestan Province, Hamidiyeh, from leaf spot of *Allium schoenoprasum* L., 5 Feb. 2020, S.A. Ahmadpour, IRAN 4749C = SCUA-Ah-H19; Gilan Province, Shalman, endophyte of *Actinidia chinensis* Planch., Dec. 2016, S. Leyla Akbari, IRAN 3307C.

Note: Toruloidea tobaica was originally described from heath soil in Sumatra (Von Szilvinyi 1936). Epicoccum mezzetti, E. oryzae, E. purpurascens and Toruloidea tobaica were formerly regarded as synonyms of E. nigrum by Schol-Schwarz (1959). Recently, Hou et al. (2020a) resurrected T. tobaica from the synonymy of E. nigrum based on morphological and phylogenetic evidence, and recombined it into Epicoccum. Epicoccum tobaicum produces smaller epicoccoid conidia than E. nigrum (13–19.5 µm vs. 15–35 µm; Punithalingam et al. 1972) and larger than E. mezzetti (9-11 µm; Hou et al. 2020a). Our isolates are morphologically and phylogenetically similar to the type of E. tobaicum (CBS 38436; MLBS 95%, MPBS 95%, Fig. 1). Neomicrosphaeropsis juglandis D. Pem, Selcuk, Jeewon & K.D. Hyde, Frontiers in Microbiology 11:1 (2020) Fig. 7

Conidiomata pycnidial, scattered, solitary or aggregated, immersed, slightly erumpent, black, globose to subglobose, non-ostiolate, 127.6–368 \times 112.6-292.6 µm, 95% confidence limits = 201.4-244.2 ×165–195.2 µm, ($\bar{x} \pm$ SD = 222.8 ± 57.2 × $180.1 \pm 40.3 \ \mu\text{m}$, n= 60). Pycnidial wall comprising light to dark brown, thick-walled cells. Conidia yellow- or green-brown, aseptate, obovoid to ellipsoidal, smooth-walled, sometimes guttulate, 5- $10.3 \times 3.3-6.2 \,\mu\text{m}, 95\%$ confidence limits = 6.6-7.3 $\times 3.9-4.2 \ \mu m$, ($\overline{x} \pm SD = 6.9 \pm 1.1 \times 4 \pm 0.5 \ \mu m$, n= 60). Culture characteristics: Colonies on OA, 7-8 mm diam after 8 days of incubation at 25 ± 0.5 °C, circular to irregular, flat to slightly raised, mycelium medium sparse, initially pale pink, with age becoming pink; reverse brown with the colorless edge.

Specimen examined. IRAN, Tehran Province, Chitgar Forest Park, from *Cupressus sempervirens* var. *horizontalis* (Mill.) Gord, 21 Jul. 1992, M. Mirabolfathy, IRAN 159C.

Note: *Neomicrosphaeropsis juglandis* was first introduced by Pem et al. (2020) from dead aerial stems of *Juglans regia* L. in Turkey. This species is characterized by large, aseptate conidia with a unique yellow- or green-brown color. In phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), the new strain clustered with the ex-type strain *N. juglandis* MFLU:17-0517 and formed a well-supported monophyletic clade (MLBS 100%, MPBS 100%, BPP 1.00). Morphologically, our strain differs from the type in

the size of the conidia (5-10.3 \times 3.3-6.2 µm vs. 8-11 \times 6-7 µm) (Pem et al. 2020).





Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree constructed from a maximum likelihood analysis based on the combined ITS, LSU, *tub2* and *rpb2* sequences. Bootstrap values obtained in maximum likelihood (MLBS) and maximum parsimony (MPBS) analyses $\geq 50\%$ and Bayesian posterior probability values (BYPP) $\geq 0.95\%$ are shown at the nodes, respectively. The scale bar shows the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted in *Neodidymelliopsis cannabis* strain CBS 121.75. Letter T indicates the ex-type strains. Taxa under study are shown with red-color filled circles.



0.05






Fig. 2. *Didymella aquatica* (IRAN 4377C). a–b. colony on OA after 8 d at 28 °C (top and reverse); c–d. pycnidia; e–f. section of pycnidia; g. conidia. — Scale bars: $c = 500 \mu m$; $d = 200 \mu m$; $e = 105 \mu m$ f, $g = 20 \mu m$.




Fig. 3. *Didymella segeticola* (IRAN 4745C). a–b. colony on OA after 8 d at 28 °C (top and reverse); c–d. pycnidia; e. conidia. — Scale bars: c, $d = 200 \mu m$; $e = 20 \mu m$.




Fig. 4. *Ectophoma multirostrata* (IRAN 4150C). a. stem rot on *Kalanchoe blossfeldiana*; b–c. colony on OA after 8 d at 28 °C (top and reverse); d–e. pycnidia; f. conidia. — Scale bars: $d = 500 \mu m$; $f = 20 \mu m$.




Fig. 5. *Epicoccum dendrobii* (IRAN 4751C). a–b. leaf spot on *Mentha piperita* and *Trifolium alexandrium*; c. stem necrotic lesions on *Phragmites australis*; d. leaf spot of *Lactuca serriola*; e–f. colony on OA after 8 d at 28 °C (top and reverse); g. sporodochia; h. Conidiogenous cells and conidia; i. conidia. — Scale bars: $g = 200 \mu m$; h–i = 20 μm .




Fig. 6. *Epicoccum tobaicum* (IRAN 3307C). a. leaf spot on *Allium schoenoprasum*; b–c. colony on OA after 8 d at 28 °C (top and reverse); d–e. sporodochia; f. conidia; g. Conidiogenous cells — Scale bars: $d = 500 \mu m$; $e = 50 \mu m$; f– $g = 20 \mu m$.




Fig. 7. *Neomicrosphaeropsis juglandis* (IRAN 2950C). a–b. colony on OA after 8 d at 28 °C (top and reverse); c. pycnidia; d. conidia. — Scale bars: $c = 200 \mu m$; $d = 20 \mu m$.

DISCUSSION

Phoma sensu lato is a large polyphyletic genus with different lineages of phoma-like species (de Gruyter et al. 2012). Most of these taxa were reclassified into Didymellaceae using molecular data, in combination with morphology (Aveskamp et al. 2009a, b, 2010, de Gruyter et al. 2009). The members of Didymellaceae and allied taxa subjected to a comprehensive taxonomic revision, based on their phylogenetic relationships (Chen et al. 2015a, 2017, Ariyawansa et al. 2015, Hyde et al. 2016, Valenzuela-Lopez et al. 2018, Hou et al. 2020a, b). In these studies, the appropriate delimitation of the genera and species within the *Didymellaceae* has been made possible by molecular phylogeny and morphological characterization

In this study, six new species belonging to four genera are reported for the fungi of Iran. Based on the literature, we combined the multi-locus data of ITS, LSU, *tub2* and *rpb2* for phylogenetic analysis. In four-locus-based phylogenetic trees (Fig. 1), the combined sequence was adequate to delimit closely related species in the genera under study.

In this study, *D. aquatica* is reported from a plant (*Acer* sp.) for the first time. Up to now, several other *Didymella* species were reported from *Acer* spp. including *D. macrostoma* and *D. sphaerellula* on *A.*

pseudoplatanus and D. nigricans and D. pinodella on A. palmatum (Farr and Rossman 2022). D. segeticola has been reported from Camellia sasanqua (Japan), C. sinensis (China), Cirsium segetum (China), and Nicotiana tabacum (China) (Ren et al. 2019, Guo et al. 2020). This is the first report of D. segeticola on Diospyros lotus, Pterocarya fraxinifolia, and Quercus sp.

Ectophoma multirostrata has been reported from Cucumis sativus and Philodendron sp. in the Netherlands and Lilium sp. and Cicer arietinum (causing root rot) in India (Aveskamp et al. 2009a, Valenzuela-Lopez et al. 2018, Chobe et al. 2020). In the current study, E. multirostrata is firstly reported to be associated with stem rot of Kalanchoe blossfeldiana. The genus Epicoccum is known as an air, seed and, soil-borne saprophyte. This species was reported to be a common contaminant of grass seeds but is also recorded as endophytic or weakly pathogenic from different parts of various other plants (Favaro et al. 2011). The species E. dendrobii has been reported from Cunninghamia lanceolata, Dendrobium fimbriatum, Nicotiana tabacum and Prunus avium (Chen et al. 2017, Bian et al. 2021, Hou et al. 2020a, Han et al. 2022). Another species, E. tobaicum has been reported from Avena sativa, Camellia sinensis, Perilla sp., Prunus yedoensis, Weigela florida, soil, and air (Chen et al. 2017, 2020, Hou et al. 2020a, Tian et al. 2021). This is the first report of E. dendrobii on Phragmites australis, Mentha piperita, Lactuca serriola, and Trifolium alexandrium, and E. tobaicum on Allium schoenoprasum and Actinidia chinensis. Neomicrosphaeropsis juglandis has been reported from dead aerial stems of Juglans regia in Turkey (Pem et al. 2020). In the current study, N. juglandis is reported for the first time associated with Cupressus sempervirens var. horizontalis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Hosseini Moghadam and Seyedeh Leyla Akbari for their foresight in depositing some examined strains in this study in the Iranian Fungal Culture Collection (IRAN).

Authors' contribution statement

Seyedeh Akram Ahmadpour carried out sample preparation, fungal isolation and purification, morphometric and morphological determination, DNA isolation and amplification, DNA and phylogenetic analysis and the writing of the manuscript. Mehdi Mehrabi-Koushki carried out the design and implementation of the research and revising of the manuscript. Reza Farokhinejad and Bita asgari, contributed to the implementation of the research and revising of the manuscript. Other authors, Alireza Javadi Estahbanati, Mansoureh Mirabolfathy and Kamran Rahnama, provided some fungal isolates and reviewed the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by grant (SCU.AP99.294) from Research Council of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz.

DATA AVAILABILITY

New sequences generated in the current study are deposited in NCBI GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

REFERENCES

- Ahmadpour SA, Mehrabi-Koushki M, Farokhinejad R, Asgari B. 2022a. *Xenodidymella iranica* sp. nov. and new hosts of *X. glycyrrhizicola* in Iran. Tropical plant pathology 47: 430–441.
- Ahmadpour SA, Mehrabi-Koushki M, Farokhinejad R, Asgari B. 2022b. New species of the family *Didymellaceae* in Iran. Mycological Progress 21(28): 1–14.
- Ahmadpour SA, Mehrabi-Koushki M, Farokhinejad R. 2017a. *Neodidymelliopsis farokhinejadii*, a new fungal species from dead branches of trees in Iran. Sydowia 69: 171–182.
- Ahmadpour SA, Farokhinejad R, Mehrabi-Koushki M. 2017b. Further characterization and pathogenicity of *Didymella microchlamydospora* causing stem necrosis of *Morus nigra* in Iran. Mycosphere 8(7): 835–852.
- Amirdehi E, Fotouhifar KB, Javan-Nikkhah M. 2017. Morphological and molecular study on some species of *Phoma* and related taxa in Iran. Rostaniha 18(1): 59–76.
- Ariyawansa HA, Hyde KD, Jayasiri SC, Buyck B, Chethana KW, Dai DQ, Dai YC, Daranagama DA, Jayawardena RS, Lücking R, Ghobad-Nejhad M.

2015. Fungal diversity notes 111–252-taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa. Fungal Diversity 75: 27–274.

- Aveskamp MM, de Gruyter J, Woudenberg JHC, Verkley GJM, Crous PW. 2010. Highlights of the *Didymellaceae*: a polyphasic approach to characterise *Phoma* and related *pleosporalean* genera. Studies in Mycology 65: 1–60.
- Aveskamp MM, Verkley GJ, de Gruyter J, Murace MA, Perello A, Woudenberg JH, Groenewald JZ, Crous, PW. 2009a. DNA phylogeny reveals polyphyly of *Phoma* section *Peyronellaea* and multiple taxonomic novelties. Mycologia 101: 363–382.
- Aveskamp MM, Woudenberg JHC, de Gruyter J, Turco E, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. 2009b. Development of taxon-specific sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers based on Actin sequences and DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF): a case study in the *Phoma exigua* species complex. Molecular Plant Pathology 10: 403–414.
- Aveskamp MM, de Gruyter J, Crous PW. 2008. Biology and recent developments in the systematics of *Phoma*, a complex genus of major quarantine significance. Fungal Diversity 31: 1–18
- Babaahmadi G, Mehrabi-Koushki M, Hayati J. 2018. *Allophoma hayatii* sp. nov., an undescribed pathogenic fungus causing dieback of *Lantana camara* in Iran. Mycological Progress 17(3): 365– 379.
- Bakhshi M, Arzanlou M, Zare R, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. 2019. New species of *Septoria* associated with leaf spot diseases in Iran. Mycologia 111(6): 1056–71.
- Bian JY, Fang YL, Song Q, Sun ML, Yang JY, Ju YW, Li DW, Huang L. 2021. The Fungal Endophyte *Epicoccum dendrobii* as a Potential Biocontrol Agent Against *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. Phytopathology 111: 293–303.
- Boerema GH, de Gruyter J, Noordeloos ME. 2004. *Phoma* identification manual. Differentiation of specific and infra- specific taxa in culture. CABI Publishing, USA.
- Chen H, Li CJ, White JF. 2020. First report of *Epicoccum layuense* causing brown leaf spot on oat (*Avena sativa*) in Northwestern China. Plant Disease Note 104(3): 990.
- Chen Q, Hou LW, Duan WJ, Crous PW, Cai L. 2017. *Didymellaceae* revisited. Studies in Mycology 87: 105–159.
- Chen Q, Jiang JR, Zhang GZ, Cai L, Crous PW. 2015a. Resolving the *Phoma enigma*. Studies in Mycology 82: 137–217.
- Chen Q, Zhang KE, Zhang G, Cai L. 2015b. A polyphasic approach to characterise two novel species of *Phoma* (Didymellaceae) from China. Phytotaxa 197(4): 267–281.
- Chobe DR, Tarafdar A, Sharath Chandran US, Sudharani and Sharma M. 2020. First report of

Ectophoma multirostrata causing root rot in chickpea. Plant Disease 104(6): 1866.

- Crous PW, Hernández-Restrepo M, Schumacher RK, Cowan Maggs-Kölling G, Marais E, Wingfield MJ, Yilmaz N, Adan OC, Akulov A, Duarte EÁ. 2021. New and interesting fungi. 4. Fungal Systematics and Evolution 7: 255–343.
- Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Burgess TI, Hardy GE, Crane C Barrett S, Cano-Lira JF, Le Roux JJ, Thangavel R, Guarro J, Stchigel AM. 2016. Fungal Planet description sheets: 469–557. Persoonia 37: 218–403.
- Crous PW, Groenewald JZ. 2016. They seldom occur alone. Fungal Biology 120(11): 1392–1415.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9(8): 772.
- de Gruyter J, Aveskamp MM, Woudenberg JHC, Verkley GJM, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. 2009. Molecular phylogeny of *Phoma* and allied anamorph genera: towards a reclassification of the *Phoma* complex. Mycological Research 113: 508– 519.
- de Gruyter J, Woudenberg JHC, Aveskamp MM, Verkley GJM, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. 2010. Systematic reappraisal of species in *Phoma* section *Paraphoma*, *Pyrenochaeta*, and *Pleurophoma*. Mycologia 102: 1066–1081.
- de Gruyter J. 2012. Revised taxonomy of *Phoma* and allied genera. Ph.D. thesis, Wageningen University, The Netherlands.
- Edler D, Klein J, Antonelli A, Silvestro. 2019. raxmlGUI 2.0 beta: a graphical interface and toolkit for phylogenetic analyses using RAxMl. bioRxiv doi: 10.1101/800912.
- Favaro LC, De Melo FL, Aguilar-Vildoso CI, Araujo WL. 2011. Polyphasic Analysis of Intraspecific Diversity in *Epicoccum nigrum* Warrants Reclassification into Separate Species. PLOS ONE 6(8): 1–18.
- Farr DF, Rossman AY. 2021. Fungal Databases: U.S. National Fungus Collections, ARS, USDA. Internet Resource: https://nt.ars-grin.gov/ fungaldatabases/ (Retrieved August 17. 2022).
- Guo Z, Xie H, Wang H, Huang Y, Chen QL, Xiang L, Yu Z, Yang X. 2020. Leaf spot caused by *Didymella segeticola* on tobacco in China. Plant Disease 104(5): 1559.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98.
- Han VC, Yu NH, Yoon H, Ahn NH, Son YK, Lee BH, Kim JC. 2022. Identification, Characterization, and Efficacy Evaluation of *Bacillus velezensis* for Shot-Hole Disease Biocontrol in Flowering Cherry. Plant Pathology Journal. 38(2): 115-130.
- Hongsanan S, Hyde KD, Phookamsak R, Wanasinghe DN, McKenzie EHC, Sarma VV, Lücking R, Boonmee S, Bhat JD, Liu NG, Tennakoon DS. 2020. Refined families of *Dothideomycetes*: orders

and families incertae sedis in *Dothideomycetes*. Fungal Diversity 105: 17–318.

- Hou LW, Groenewald JZ, Pfenning LH, Yarden O, Crous PW, Cai L. 2020a. The phoma-like dilemma. Studies in Mycology 96: 309–396.
- Hou LW, Hernández-Restrepo M, Groenewald JZ, Cai L, Crous PW. 2020b. Citizen science project reveals high diversity in *Didymellaceae* (*Pleosporales*, *Dothideomycetes*). MycoKeys 65: 49–99.
- Hyde KD, Dong Y, Phookamsak R, Jeewon R, Bhat DJ, Jones EB, Liu NG, Abeywickrama PD, Mapook A, Wei D, Perera RH. 2020. Fungal diversity notes 1151–1276: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions on genera and species of fungal taxa. Fungal Diversity 100: 5–277.
- Hyde KD, Hongsanan S, Jeewon R, Bhat DJ, McKenzie EHC, Jones EBG, Phookamsak R, Ariyawansa HA, Boonmee S, Zhao Q,, Zhu L. 2016. Fungal diversity notes 367–490: taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa. Fungal Diversity 80: 1–270.
- Hyde KD, Jones EB, Liu JK, Ariyawansa H, Boehm E, Boonmee S, Braun U, Chomnunti P, Crous PW, Dai DQ, Diederich P. 2013. Families of Dothideomycetes. Fungal Diversity 63: 1–313.
- Khodaei S, Arzanlou M, Babai-Ahari A, Rota-Stabelli O, Pertot I. 2018. Characterization of several plant pathogenic species belonging to the family Didymellaceae based on multigene and morphological analyses in East and West Azarbaijan provinces. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 54(2): 87–110.
- Larki R, Mehrabi-Koushki M, Farokhinejad R. 2019. *Ectophoma iranica* sp. nov. and new hosts and records of *Allophoma* spp. in Iran. Journal of Phytopathology 167: 538–545.
- Li W, Cowley A, Uludag M, Gur T, McWilliam H, Squizzato S, Park YM, Buso N, Lopez R. 2015. The EMBL-EBI bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework. Nucleic acids research 43(W1): 580–584.
- Liu JK, Hyde KD, Jones EB, Ariyawansa HA, Bhat DJ, Boonmee S, Maharachchikumbura SS, McKenzie EH, Phookamsak R, Phukhamsakda C, Shenoy BD. 2015. Fungal diversity notes 1–110: taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal species. Fungal diversity 72(1): 1–97.
- Mathur PN, Thirumalachar MJ. 1959. Studies on some Indian soil fungi 1. Some new or noteworthy *Sphaeropsidales*. Sydowia 13: 143–147.
- O'Donnell K, Cigelnik E. 1997. Two Divergent Intragenomic rDNA ITS2 Types within a Monophyletic Lineage of the Fungus *Fusarium* are nonorthologous. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 7: 103–116.
- O'Donnell K. 1993. *Fusarium* and ITS near relatives. In: The fungal holomorph: mitotic, meiotic and pleomorphic speciation in fungal systematics

(Reynolds DR & Taylor JW, eds): 225–233. CAB International, Wallingford.

- Pem D, Jeewon R, Selcuk F, Ulukapi M, Bhat J, Doilom M, Lumyong S, Hyde KD. 2020. ribosomal and protein gene phylogeny reveals novel saprobic fungal species from *Juglans regia* and *Urtica dioica*. Frontiers in Microbiology 11: 1– 20.
- Punithalingam E, Tulloch M, Leach CM. 1972. *Phoma epicoccina* sp. nov. on *Dactylis glomerata*. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 59: 341–345.
- Raeder U, Broda P. 1985. Rapid preparation of DNA from filamentous fungi. Letters in Applied Microbiology 1: 17–20.
- Ren Y, Li D, Zhao X, Wang Y, Bao X, Wang X, Wu X, Wang D, Song B, Chen Z. 2019. Whole Genome Sequences of the Tea Leaf Spot Pathogen *Didymella segeticola*. Phytopathology 109(10): 1676–1678.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic biology 61: 539–542.
- Safi A, Mehrabi-Koushki M, Farokhinejad R. 2020. Amesia khuzestanica and Curvularia iranica spp. nov. from Iran. Mycological Progress 19(9): 935– 945.
- Schol-Schwarz MB. 1959. The genus *Epicoccum*-Link. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 42: 149–173.
- Sung GH, Sung JM, Hywel-Jones NL, Spatafora JW. 2007. A multi-gene phylogeny of *Clavicipitaceae* (*Ascomycota*, Fungi): identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 44: 1204–1223.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729.

- Thambugala KM, Daranagama DA, Phillips AJL, Bulgakov TS, Bhat DJ. 2016. Microfungi on *Tamarix*. Fungal Diversity 82: 239–306.
- Tian Y, Zhang Y, Qiu C, Liu Z. 2021. First report of leaf spot of *Weigela florida* caused by *Epicoccum layuense* in China. Plant Disease 105(8): 2243.
- Valenzuela-Lopez N, Cano-Lira JF, Guarro J, Sutton DA, Wiederhold N, Crous PW, Stchigel AM. 2018.
 Coelomycetous *Dothideomycetes* with emphasis on the families *Cucurbitariaceae* and *Didymellaceae*. Studies in Mycology 90: 1–69.
- Von Szilvinyi A. 1936. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 14. Tropische Binnengewässer, 6a. 519.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications (Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ & White TJ, eds): 315–322. Academic Press, New York.
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Wanasinghe DN, Papizadeh M, Goonasekara ID, Camporesi E, Bhat DJ, McKenzie EH, Phillips AJ, Diederich P, Tanaka K. 2016. Taxonomy and phylogeny of dematiaceous coelomycetes. Fungal Diversity 77: 1–316.
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Al-Ani LK, Tedersoo L, Haelewaters D, Becerra AG, Schnittler M, Shchepin ON, Novozhilov YK, Silva-Filho AG, Gentekaki E. 2020. Outline of Fungi and funguslike taxa. Mycosphere 11(1): 1060–1456.
- Woudenberg JHC, Aveskamp MM, de Gruyter J, Spiers AG, Crous PW. 2009. Multiple *Didymella* teleomorphs are linked to the *Phoma clematidina* morphotype. Persoonia 22: 56–62.

گزارش جدید از گونههای قارچی خانواده Didymellaceae از ایران

سیده اکرم احمدپور[™]، مهدی مهرابی کوشکی^{۲۰۱}، رضا فرخینژاد^ر، بیتا عسگری^۳، علیرضا جوادی اصطهباناتی^۳، منصوره میرابوالفتحی^۴ و کامران رهنما^۵

۱- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه شهید چمران اهواز، اهواز، ایران
 ۲- مرکز تحقیقات بیوتکنولوژی و علوم زیستی، دانشگاه شهید چمران اهواز، اهواز، ایران
 ۳- بخش تحقیقات رستنیها، مؤسسه تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، تهران، ایران
 ۴- بخش تحقیقات بیماریهای گیاهی، مؤسسه تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، تهران، ایران
 ۵- بخش تحقیقات بیماریهای گیاهی، مؤسسه تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، تهران، ایران
 ۶- بخش تحقیقات بیماریهای گیاهی، مؤسسه تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، تهران، ایران
 ۶- بخش تحقیقات بیماریهای گیاهی، مؤسسه تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، تهران، ایران
 ۶- بخش تحقیقات بیماریهای گیاهی، مؤسسه تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی کشور، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، تهران، ایران

چکیده: تیره Didymellaceae یکی از غنی ترین تیره از نظر تنوع گونه ای در راسته Pleosporales محسوب می شود و شامل گونه های بیمار گر، پوده رست و درون رست های متعددی است که در طیف وسیعی از زیست و مها زندگی می کنند. در طی سال های ۱۳۹۸–۱۴۰۰، به منظور شناسایی گونه های متعلق به تیره Didymellaceae در ایران، نّه جدایه شناسایی نشده iphoma-like کر کلکسیون ملی قارچهای زنده ایران (IRAN) و شش جدایه بدست آمده از گیاهان بیمار نمونه برداری شده از استان خوزستان مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. جدایه های مورد بررسی در این مطالعه بر اساس ترکیب نتایج حاصل از تجزیه و تحلیل تبارزایی چند ژنی (ITS بررسی قرار گرفت. جدایه های مورد بررسی در این مطالعه بر اساس ترکیب نتایج حاصل از تجزیه و تحلیل تبارزایی چند ژنی (ITS دyper 2 LSU و 1000) و داده های ریخت شناسایی شدند. بر این اساس، شش گونه متعلق به چهار جنس از تیره Epicoccum dendrobii Ectophoma multirostrata D. segeticola ، Didymellaceae شاسایی شده می Didymellaceae می شناسایی شداسایی شد که در اینجا توصیف می شوند. تمامی گونه های شناسایی شده برای قارچهای ایران جدید می باشند. علاوه بر این، چندین میزبان جدید برای این آرایه ها در اینجا گزارش می شود. کلمات کلیدی: ریخت شناسی، تاکسونومی، تبارزایی، Phoma-like

> مکاتبه کننده: سیده اکرم احمدپور Email: sa_ahmadpoor@yahoo.com تاریخ دریافت: ۱۴۰۰/۵/۲۵ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۰/۹/۳